

From: [Todd Sadlo](#)
To: [Zeigler Samuel B](#); [Posegate Stacy C](#)
Cc: [Christian Boenisch](#)
Subject: RE: Bridge reimbursement
Date: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 11:09:21 AM

Sam,

I think she means to clarify our position as county staff. We are not planning any filings with LUBA, so it's more our position 'about' or 'with regard to' LUBA. As far as we are concerned, the decision on remand is exactly the process LUBA outlined that the county must take to satisfy the remand of the bridge contract decision. We need to decide how to get rid of, or formally move past the bridge contract remand so that everyone, including LUBA in any subsequent proceeding, understands what we did and why. That likely includes a new Board Order to rescind the old Board Order that approved the bridge construction contract and reinstates or acknowledges that the contract remains in effect since the Board re-approved the use of the bridge and the rest of the corridor between Yamhill and Carlton for trail uses.

Todd Sadlo

From: Zeigler Samuel B <Samuel.B.Zeigler@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Wednesday, June 3, 2020 10:37 AM
To: Todd Sadlo <sadlot@co.yamhill.or.us>; Posegate Stacy C <Stacy.C.Posegate@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Christian Boenisch <boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us>
Subject: RE: Bridge reimbursement

[This email originated outside of Yamhill County]

Todd, we're discussing next steps with ODOT and will be in touch. In the meantime, please advise what Carrie Martin means when she refers to the county "working to clarify its position with LUBA this week" in the email attached.

Sam

Sam Zeigler

Oregon Department of Justice
503.947.4487 (Mon., Tues., Thur.)
971.673.1982 (Wed., Fri.)

From: Todd Sadlo <sadlot@co.yamhill.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:48 PM
To: Posegate Stacy C <Stacy.C.Posegate@doj.state.or.us>; Zeigler Samuel B

<Samuel.B.Zeigler@doj.state.or.us>

Cc: Christian Boenisch <boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us>

Subject: RE: Bridge reimbursement

Stacy and Sam,

Somehow I think I sent a blank message. We are wondering, given that the Board re-approved the trail, including the bridge, on remand last Thursday: What, if anything, stands in the way of reimbursement for expenses we recently submitted?

Let me know if we need to have a conference call (possibly tomorrow?) to discuss this.

Todd Sadlo

From: Todd Sadlo

Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2020 3:44 PM

To: Posegate Stacy C <Stacy.C.Posegate@doj.state.or.us>; Zeigler Samuel B <Samuel.B.Zeigler@doj.state.or.us>

Cc: Christian Boenisch <boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us>

Subject: Bridge reimbursement

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.
