

Ballot Content

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Federal Offices

Federal

US Senator

Vote for 1 6 Year Term

Mike Montchalin
Jeff Merkley

Libertarian
Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families
Pacific Green
Constitution
Republican

Christina Jean Lugo
James E Leuenberger
Monica Wehby

Number Candidates Filed: 5
Contest Total: 1

US Congressional District 1

US Representative, District 1

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Steven C Reynolds
Jason Yates
Suzanne Bonamici
James Foster

Pacific Green,
Progressive
Republican,
Constitution
Democrat, Working
Families
Libertarian

Number Candidates Filed: 4
Contest Total: 1

Partisan State Offices

Statewide Partisan

Governor

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Dennis Richardson
Chris Henry
Aaron Auer
John Kitzhaber
Paul Grad
Jason Levin

Republican,
Independent
Progressive
Constitution
Democrat, Working
Families
Libertarian
Pacific Green

Number Candidates Filed: 6
Contest Total: 1

Senate District 13

State Senator, 13th District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Kim Thatcher
Ryan Howard

Republican,
Independent,
Libertarian
Democrat, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 2
Contest Total: 1

Ballot Content

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Partisan State Offices

Senate District 16

State Senator, 16th District

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Perry R Roll
Betsy Johnson
Andrew (Drew) Kaza

Libertarian
Democrat, Republican
Independent, Working
Families
Constitution

Bob Ekstrom

Number Candidates Filed: 4
Contest Total: 1

House District 10

State Representative, 10th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

David Gomberg

Democrat,
Independent,
Republican

Number Candidates Filed: 1
Contest Total: 1

House District 23

State Representative, 23rd District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Mike Nearman
Wanda Davis

Republican
Democrat, Working
Families
Pacific Green
Libertarian

Alex Polikoff
Mark Karnowski

Number Candidates Filed: 4
Contest Total: 1

House District 24

State Representative, 24th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Ken Moore

Democrat,
Independent, Working
Families
Libertarian
Republican

Kohler Johnson
Jim Weidner

Number Candidates Filed: 3
Contest Total: 1

House District 25

State Representative, 25th District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Bill Post
Josh Smith
Chuck Lee

Republican, Libertarian
Pacific Green
Independent,
Democrat, Working
Families

Number Candidates Filed: 3
Contest Total: 1

Ballot Content

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Partisan State Offices

House District 32

State Representative, 32nd District

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Rick Rose

Republican

Deborah Boone

Democrat

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 1

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 86

Caption: Amends Constitution: Requires creation of fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, authorizes state indebtedness to finance fund

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote amends constitution and requires legislature to establish fund for Oregonians pursuing post-secondary education, career training; authorizes state to incur debt to finance fund.

Result of No: "No" vote rejects authorization for state to extend credit and incur debt to create dedicated fund for Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education and career training.

Summary: Amends Constitution. Oregon constitution generally prohibits the state from extending credit or incurring debt. Measure requires the legislature to create dedicated fund for exclusive benefit of Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education, including technical, professional and career training. Measure authorizes state to lend credit and incur debt to finance fund. Indebtedness incurred may not exceed one percent of real market value of all property in state. Moneys in fund not subject to constitutional limitations on investment. Generated earnings must be retained by fund, unless used to provide financial assistance to Oregon students pursuing post-secondary education. If governor declares an emergency, legislature may pass a bill to use the fund's money for any lawful purpose, provided the legislature also has approved a plan to repay the fund.

Financial Impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 87

Caption: Amends Constitution: Permits employment of state judges by National Guard (military service) and state public universities (teaching)

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote amends constitution to permit state judges to be employed by Oregon National Guard for military service purposes, state public universities for teaching purposes.

Result of No: "No" vote retains existing constitutional restrictions on employment of Oregon state court judges by the Oregon National Guard and by the state public university system.

Summary: Article III, section 1, of Oregon Constitution ("separation of powers" clause) prohibits person from serving in more than one branch of government at the same time; Oregon Supreme Court has ruled that provision prohibits state court judges from teaching at institutions of public education. Article II, section 10, prohibits state court judges from being compensated for military service in National Guard. Measure amends constitution to authorize any public university as defined by law to employ state court judges for purpose of teaching at Oregon public universities. Measure also authorizes employment of state court judges by Oregon National Guard for purpose of military service. Measure provides that such educational or military employment shall not preclude person from serving as state judge at same time. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 88

Caption: Provides Oregon resident "driver card" without requiring proof of legal presence in the United States

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote directs Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to Oregon resident meeting specified eligibility, without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.

Result of No: "No" vote rejects law directing Department of Transportation to issue "driver card" to eligible Oregon resident without requiring proof of legal presence in United States.

Summary: Current law requires any applicant for an Oregon driver license or permit to provide proof of legal presence in the United States. Measure directs the Department of Transportation to issue a "driver card" to an applicant who does not provide proof of legal presence in the United States, but who has otherwise complied with all Oregon requirements for the type of driving privileges sought, has provided proof of residence in Oregon for more than one year, and has provided proof of identity and date of birth. The driver card may not be used as identification for air travel, to enter a federal building, to register to vote or to obtain any government benefit requiring proof of citizenship or lawful presence in United States. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: This measure will require the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) to issue an Oregon Driver Card to an applicant without requiring the applicant to provide proof of legal presence in the United States, if that individual complies with all the requirements for the driving privileges to be sought; provides proof of identity and date of birth; (3) provides proof of residing in Oregon in excess of one year as of the date of the application; (4) provides a Social Security number (SSN) assigned to that individual by the United States Social Security Administration (SSA) or provides a written statement that the individual has not been assigned a SSN; and (5) pays any fees associated with the type of driver card being sought. The cost to provide these cards is estimated at \$2,794,802 in the 2013-15 biennium and \$2,677,144 in the 2015-17 biennium, but revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs to ODOT. The revenue in excess of the costs will be deposited within the State Highway Fund.

The referendum establishes the following fees: (1) \$64 for issuance of a Class C driver card; (2) \$5 for the knowledge test for a Class C driver card; (3) \$9 for the skills test for a Class C driver card; (4) \$64 for issuance of a restricted Class C driver card; (5) \$44 for renewal of a Class C driver card; (6) \$30 for replacement of a Class C driver card; (7) \$6 for the Student Driver Training Fund; (8) \$75 for reinstatement of revoked driving privilege; (9) \$75 for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges; and (10) fee for reinstatement of the right to apply for driving privileges after a delay under ORS 809.280 (1997 Edition), which is the same as the fee for reinstatement of suspended driving privileges.

The referendum provides that the fees charged for an Oregon Driver Card would be used for administrative purposes and distributed to the Highway Fund in the same manner as fees charged for an Oregon Driver License. It is anticipated that this measure will generate \$3,510,437 of revenue in 2013-15 and \$4,333,562 in 2015-17.

There are no anticipated effects on local government.

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 89

Caption: Amends Constitution: State/political subdivision shall not deny or abridge equality of rights on account of sex

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote amends state constitution, prohibits state and any political subdivision from denying or abridging equality of rights under the law on account of sex.

Result of No: "No" vote retains current prohibition on laws granting/denying privileges or immunities on account of sex, unless justified by specific biological differences between men/women.

Summary: Under Article I, section 20, of the Oregon Constitution, laws granting privileges or immunities must apply equally to all persons. The Oregon Supreme Court has held that that provision prohibits laws treating people differently based on sex unless justified by specific biological differences. No current provision in constitution expressly states that prohibition. Measure amends Article I by creating new section 46, which provides that equality of rights under the law shall not be denied or abridged by the state or any political subdivision on account of sex. Measure authorizes legislature to enforce that provision by appropriate legislation. Measure provides that nothing in section 46 "shall diminish a right otherwise available to persons under section 20 of this Article or any other provision of this Constitution."

Financial Impact: There is no financial effect on either state or local government expenditures or revenues.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 90

Caption: Changes general election nomination processes: provides for single primary ballot listing candidates; top two advance

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote replaces general election nomination processes for most partisan offices; all candidates listed on one single primary ballot; two advance to general election ballot.

Result of No: "No" vote retains current general election nomination processes, including party primaries for major parties; separate primary ballots; multiple candidates can appear on general election ballot.

Summary: Currently, each major party has a separate primary election ballot. Major party's registered voters nominate party's candidates; others' primary ballots include only nonpartisan candidates; all vote for one candidate per office. General election ballot may include multiple candidates per office: unaffiliated, major, minor party candidates. Measure replaces that system for most partisan offices, including many federal (not Presidential), all state, county, city, district offices. Single primary ballot lists all candidates for each office. Voters may vote for any candidate, regardless of voter's or candidate's party affiliation. Only top two candidates per office appear on general election ballot; may be from same party. Primary, general election ballots must contain candidates' party registration/endorsements. Eligible person, regardless of party, may be selected to fill vacancy. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: This measure changes statutes relating to primary elections. Except for the office of President, it requires that the two candidates receiving the highest number of votes advance to the general election regardless of party affiliation. The measure provides criteria for listing candidates on ballots. It establishes procedures for filling vacant Congressional offices through special elections and allows appointment to vacant state offices regardless of party affiliation. The initiative contains statutory criteria for establishing minority parties and retaining their status. It requires the Legislature to pass implementing statutes.

The Secretary of State Elections Division estimates start-up costs of \$362,640 to modify computer systems. The most likely funding source would be revenues from the General Fund.

Because of the estimated mix of costs and savings, the financial impact to counties is indeterminate.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 91

Caption: Allows possession, manufacture, sale of marijuana by/to adults, subject to state licensing, regulation, taxation

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote allows possession, authorizes in-state manufacture, processing, sale of marijuana by/to adults; licensing, regulation, taxation by state; retains current medical marijuana laws.

Result of No: "No" vote retains laws classifying cannabis as a controlled substance; prohibiting most sale, possession, manufacture of cannabis; permitting production, possession of cannabis for medical use.

Summary: Currently, cultivation, possession, delivery, sale of marijuana are unlawful, excepting regulated production, possession, use of medical marijuana. Measure allows production, processing, delivery, possession, sale of marijuana to adults, licensed, regulated by Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC). Marijuana producer, processor, wholesaler may deliver "marijuana items" (defined) only to/on licensed retail premises. OLCC collects tax imposed on marijuana producer at different rates for marijuana flowers, leaves, immature plant. "Homegrown marijuana" (defined) not regulated, taxed. Tax revenues, fees fund OLCC suspense account, Oregon Marijuana Account distributed: 40% to Common School Fund; 20% for mental health/alcohol/drug services; 15% for state police; 20% for local law enforcement; 5% to Oregon Health Authority. "Marijuana paraphernalia" (defined) excluded from "drug paraphernalia" laws. Other provisions.

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 91

Financial Impact: This measure legalizes, regulates and taxes the manufacture, sale and use of marijuana in Oregon. State and local expenditures and revenues will be impacted by passage of this measure.

The measure requires the Oregon Liquor Control Commission (OLCC) to license and regulate the distribution of marijuana. The revenue estimate from taxes when fully implemented may range from \$17 million to \$40 million annually.

The OLCC estimates that the start-up costs are about \$300,000 in state fiscal year 2015, about \$2.5 million in state fiscal year 2016, and \$1.0 million in 2017. OLCC annual operating expenses are estimated to be \$3.2 million per year. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The remaining revenue beyond expenses would be distributed as follows: 40% to the Common School Fund, 20% to the Mental Health Alcoholism and Drug Services Account, 15% to the State Police Account, 10% to cities for law enforcement, 10% to counties for law enforcement, and 5% to the Oregon Health Authority for alcohol and drug abuse prevention, early intervention and treatment services.

The Oregon Health Authority estimates \$200,000 per year in additional expenditures for two positions to license marijuana facilities that test marijuana products. This estimate assumes 20 such facilities. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Department of Agriculture estimates \$100,000 per year in additional expenditures for one position to provide rulemaking related to marijuana-infused food products, engage in outreach to the food industry, and assist members of the food industry to achieve compliance with rules. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

Oregon State Police estimates that passage of the initiative would create a need for three additional Highway Interdiction Team detectives as well as training of all sworn members in Advanced Roadside Impairment Driving Enforcement and training of some members to join the existing pool of Drug Recognition Experts. The associated start-up costs for additional staffing and training are estimated at \$400,000 in state fiscal year 2016 and ongoing expenses of \$400,000 per year beginning in fiscal year 2016. New revenues are expected to be sufficient to offset these costs.

The Oregon Judicial Department expects additional court costs to address OLCC rulemaking and licensing authority of between \$21,417 and \$55,902 in the 2015-17 biennium and between \$13,068 and \$47,190 per year in later biennia.

Passage of the initiative may result in the reduction in the number of persons entering the public safety system for marijuana-related violations, thereby reducing state General Fund expenditures on community corrections. Passage of the initiative may result in a reduction in the dollar value of fines collected by state and local governments for convictions of marijuana-related violations. Therefore, the impact for state and local governments, district attorneys, and the courts is indeterminate.

New jobs created will generate an indeterminate amount of income tax revenue.

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Statewide Nonpartisan

Measure No: 92

Caption: Requires food manufacturers, retailers to label "genetically engineered" foods as such; state, citizens may enforce

Result of Yes: "Yes" vote requires the labeling of raw and packaged foods produced entirely or partially by "genetic engineering," effective January 2016; applies to retailers, suppliers, manufacturers.

Result of No: "No" vote retains existing law, which does not require "genetically engineered" food to be labeled as such.

Summary: Current law does not require labeling of "genetically engineered" food. Measure requires retailers of genetically-engineered raw food to include "Genetically Engineered" on packages, display bins, or shelves; suppliers must label shipping containers. Requires manufacturers of packaged food produced entirely or partially by genetic engineering to include "Produced with Genetic Engineering" or "Partially Produced with Genetic Engineering" on packages. Defines "genetically engineered" food as food produced from organisms with genetic material changed through in vitro nucleic acid techniques and certain cell-fusing techniques; exempts traditional plant-breeding techniques like hybridization. Does not apply to animal feed or food served in restaurants. Directs agencies to implement law. Permits state, injured citizen to sue manufacturer, retailer for knowing/intentional violation; attorney fees for prevailing citizen. Other provisions.

Financial Impact: The measure requires the State Department of Agriculture and/or the Oregon Health Authority to prescribe, enact, and enforce rules necessary to ensure that food manufacturers and retailers properly label raw and packaged food that is entirely or partially produced with genetic engineering. The measure is expected to result in direct expenditures by State agencies for initial one time start-up costs estimated at between \$550,000 and \$600,000. Costs associated with ongoing enforcement have variable assumptions about the level of administrative oversight. There are potential indirect economic effects that may be offsetting. Therefore, the direct financial impact and indirect economic impact is indeterminate.

There is no anticipated effect on local government.

Contest Total: 8

County Offices

YAMHILL

Yamhill County Commissioner -
Position 1

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Stan Primozich

Sal Peralta

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Yamhill County Sheriff

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Tim Casey

Tim Svenson

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

Ballot Content

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

City Offices

CITY OF AMITY

Amity City Councilor - Position 2

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Russell D Blunt

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Amity City Councilor - Position 4

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Dawn M King

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Amity City Councilor - Position 6

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Brandon Zipser

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

CITY OF CARLTON

City of Carlton Mayor

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Kathie Oriet

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Carlton City Councilor - 3 At-Large

Vote for 3 4 Year Term

Scott Chitwood

Gwen Jernstedt

Karen Lind

Shirley Ward-Mullen

Number Candidates Filed: 4

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF DAYTON

City of Dayton Mayor

Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Beth Wytoski

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Dayton City Councilor - 3 At-Large

Vote for 3 4 Year Term

Erin Taylor

Darrick Price

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF DUNDEE

City of Dundee Mayor

Vote for 1 2 Year Term

David Russ

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Dundee City Councilor - 3 At-Large

Vote for 3 4 Year Term

Storr L Nelson

Douglas Pugsley

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

City Offices

City of Gaston

City of Gaston Mayor Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Tony Hall

Richard Sager

Number Candidates Filed: 2

City of Gaston Council Pos 4 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Jerry Spaulding

Number Candidates Filed: 1

City of Gaston Council Pos 5 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

No Candidate Filed

Number Candidates Filed: 0

City of Gaston Council Pos 6 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

No Candidate Filed

Number Candidates Filed: 0

Contest Total: 4

CITY OF LAFAYETTE

City of Lafayette Mayor Vote for 1 2 Year Term

Kristen L Ruiz

Chris Pagella

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Lafayette City Councilor - 3 Vote for 3 4 Year Term
At-Large

G Doug Cook

Larry Pritchett

Mark Joy

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF MCMINNVILLE

McMinnville City Councilor - Ward 1 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Kevin Jeffries

James Galbreath

Number Candidates Filed: 2

McMinnville City Councilor - Ward 2 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Alan A Ruden

Bill Bordeaux

Zack D Geary

Number Candidates Filed: 3

McMinnville City Councilor - Ward 3 Vote for 1 4 Year Term

Remy Drabkin

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

Ballot Content

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

City Offices

CITY OF NEWBERG

City of Newberg Mayor Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Bob Andrews

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Newberg City Councilor District 2 Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Stephen J McKinney
Sarah R Grider

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Newberg City Councilor District 4 Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Tony Rourke

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Newberg City Councilor District 6 Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Scott Essin

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 4

CITY OF SHERIDAN

City of Sheridan Mayor Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Harry F Cooley

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Sheridan City Councilor - 3 At-Large Vote for 3 4 Year Term
Rene Quinones
Val Adamson
Michael T Ellis

Number Candidates Filed: 3

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF WILLAMINA

City of Willamina Mayor Vote for 1 2 Year Term
Ila Skyberg
Roy A Whitman

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Willamina City Councilor - 3 At-Large Vote for 3 4 Year Term
Jeri St Onge
Heather M Stritzke

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

City Offices

CITY OF YAMHILL

City of Yamhill Mayor Vote for 1 2 Year Term
Paula Terp

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Yamhill City Councilor - 2 At-Large Vote for 2 4 Year Term
Jay Disbrow
Kay Echaury

Number Candidates Filed: 2

Contest Total: 2

District Offices

YAMHILL CO. S & W

Yamhill County Soil & Water Director - Position 1 Vote for 1 4 Year Term
David Cruickshank

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Yamhill County Soil & Water Director - Position 2 Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Patrick Fitzgerald

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Yamhill County Soil & Water Zone 1 Director Vote for 1 4 Year Term
Gordon Dromgoole

Number Candidates Filed: 1

Contest Total: 3

CITY OF CARLTON

Measure No: 36-169

Caption: Measure Proposing Sale of Bonds for Swimming Pool Facility Reconstruction

Question: Shall the City of Carlton be authorized to issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$1,320,000 for swimming pool facility reconstruction? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure will fund the substantial reconstruction and replacement of the city swimming pool house and swimming pool equipment facilities. The bonds will pay for:

- Demolition of the existing pool house;
- Improvements to the swimming pool main drain;
- Construction of a new pool house structure;
- Installation of mechanical, plumbing, and electrical improvements;
- Construction of a modern swimming pool filtration system;
- Replacement of pool heating system and pool equipment; and
- Design and engineering costs and bond issuance costs.

The bonds would mature in twenty (20) years or less from issuance. The overall tax rate for bonds is estimated to be approximately \$.61 per \$1,000 of assessed property value, or \$106 per \$175,000.00 of assessed valuation.

Contest Total: 1

CITY OF MCMINNVILLE

Measure No: 36-165

Caption: McMinnville Street Improvement and Repair Bonds

Question: Shall the City of McMinnville issue general obligation bonds not to exceed \$24,000,000 to construct priority street system improvements? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of sections 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure would authorize the City to issue general obligation bonds to improve and repair streets within the City. The measure is expected to provide funds to:

- Widen 2nd Street (Adams St - Cows St);
- Improve 5th Street (Lafayette Ave - Adams St);
- Upgrade Hill Road (2nd St - Baker Creek Rd);
- Widen Old Sheridan Road (99W - Cypress);
- Improve a portion of Alpine Avenue;
- Repave approximately 14 miles of streets;
- Construct missing sidewalks near schools, parks, and public facilities;
- Install pedestrian improvements along 1st and 2nd Street downtown;
- Complete related traffic control, pedestrian, bicycle, utility, and safety improvements; and
- Pay bond issuance costs.

The bonds would not exceed \$24,000,000, and may be issued in several series. Each series would mature over a period up to 15 years. In the first year, the increase from the current debt service tax rate is estimated to be \$0.85 per \$1,000 of assessed value. For a home assessed at \$160,000, the estimated property tax increase would be \$136 per year.

Contest Total: 1

CITY OF NEWBERG

Measure No: 36-171

Caption: Annexing 0.5 acres at 2525 Allison Lane into Newberg.

Question: Should Newberg annex approximately 0.5 acres located at 2525 Allison Lane?

Summary: This measure would annex one parcel to the City of Newberg. The parcel is an "island" of unincorporated property surrounded by the city and is adjacent to the current city limits on its north, east, south and west sides. The parcel would be zoned R-1 (low density residential), which matches the LDR (low density residential) comprehensive plan designation on the site. Annexation would also withdraw the parcel from the Newberg Rural Fire Protection District.

The parcel is owned by The Allison Inn and Spa LLC and is part of the front yard landscaping for the hotel. There are no plans to further develop the property at this time.

The Newberg City Council determined that the annexation met land use approval criteria. The Newberg Charter requires annexations to be approved by the electorate of the city, so the City Council has sent the issue to the voters. For more information, see the Explanatory Statement.

Measure No: 36-172

Caption: Annexing 3.3 acres at 2716 Wynooski Road into Newberg

Question: Should Newberg annex approximately 3.3 acres located at 2716 Wynooski Road?

Summary: This measure would annex one parcel to the City of Newberg. The parcel is adjacent to the current city limits on its north side. The parcel would be zoned M-3 (heavy industrial), with a stream corridor overlay zone on the southwest corner. The zoning matches the IND (Industrial) comprehensive plan designation on the site. Annexation would also withdraw the parcel from the Newberg Rural Fire Protection District.

The parcel is owned by the City of Newberg. The M-3 zone would allow a variety of uses, including public utilities and heavy manufacturing. The stream corridor overlay would place some protections on the bank of the stream.

The Newberg City Council determined that the annexation met land use approval criteria. The Newberg Charter requires annexations to be approved by the electorate of the city, so the City Council has sent the issue to the ballot. For more information, see the Explanatory Statement.

Contest Total: 2

CITY OF SHERIDAN

Measure No: 36-166

Caption: Approval of Long Term Vacant Building Fee for City Enforcement.

Question: Shall the City establish a long term vacant building fee to fund enforcement of long term vacant building ordinance requirements?

Summary: After public testimony the City Council in March, 2013 adopted an ordinance addressing the problem of derelict, abandoned and vacant buildings within the City. The ordinance declares that long term vacant, foreclosed, neglected or abandoned properties reduce the value of nearby properties and reduce the economic wellbeing of the City. The Municipal Code authorizes a fee to offset City General Fund enforcement costs and to encourage the use or sale of abandoned properties. The City Council has adopted, subject to voter approval, an annual fee of \$600.00 to be assessed on buildings that are vacant for more than five years. The fee does not apply to properties with building permits issued to address code violations, or buildings in compliance with codes that are actively being offered for sale or lease.

Measure No: 36-167

Caption: Imposes Bank Charges on Utility Customers for Returned Checks

Question: Shall the City Pass Through Bank Charges to Utility Customers Whose Checks are Returned to the City for Non-Sufficient Funds?

Summary: The City currently charges a fee of \$25.00 to utility customers whose checks for payment of utility bills are returned from the bank for non-sufficient funds. The current fee does not cover the full cost of bad check charges incurred by the City. For example, the City is presently charged \$35.00 for each such check returned by U.S. National Bank and \$29.00 for each such check returned by First Federal of McMinnville. The City Council has resolved that it is unfair for the loss incurred for returned checks to be covered by all utility customers. The City Council is requesting voter approval to require that the full amount of bad check charges be passed through to the utility customer whose check was returned for non-sufficient funds.

Measure No: 36-168

Caption: City Charter Amendment Permitting Annual Votes on Fees and Charges.

Question: Shall City Charter be Amended to Allow Citizens to Vote on Fee Increases for City Services at Any Lawful Election?

Summary: The purpose of the proposed Charter Amendment is to increase the ability of the citizens of Sheridan to vote in a timely manner on all matters requiring voter approval.

The City Council has proposed an amendment to Section 50 of the City Charter to allow a vote on proposed fees or service charge increases at any state authorized election. Citizens may normally vote on issues requiring voter approval at any lawful election. Currently, citizens are not permitted to vote on measures creating or adjusting fees and charges for city services except at a limited number of elections, and only in even numbered years. Various new or revised city programs funded by user fees or charges must be delayed due to a lack of citizen approval. Important public works opportunities impacting municipal finances can be curtailed or canceled if voter approval cannot be sought in a timely manner.

Contest Total: 3

CHEHALEM PARK DIST.

Measure No: 36-170

Caption: Bonds Redeveloping Community Pool; Increase Safety, Energy Efficiency, Fitness Programs

Question: Shall CPRD redevelop pool; increase safety, energy efficiency; issue general obligation bonds not exceeding \$19.9 million dollars; require citizen oversight? If the bonds are approved, they will be payable from taxes on property or property ownership that are not subject to the limits of section 11 and 11b, Article XI of the Oregon Constitution.

Summary: If approved, this measure would fund the redevelopment of the Chehalem Park and Recreation District's 44 year old community swimming pool facility. Specifically, the bond is expected to fund:

- Replacing out-of-date machinery to improve energy efficiency;
- Replacing structurally deficient cement and rebar infrastructure;
- Redeveloping pool facility to meet current demand and reduce overcrowding;
- Improved pool design to provide better safety for students and seniors;
- Construction of additional space for regional school swim meets;
- Renovations for ADA compliance;
- Demolition of existing out-of-date structures and renovation and improvement of fitness section and acquisition of new equipment;
- Parking and site improvements and furnishings and equipment related to the pool redevelopment;
- Citizen oversight would be required.

Bonds mature in 21 years or less from issuance and can be in more than one series. The average annual tax rate for bonds is estimated to be approximately \$.45 per \$1,000 of assessed property value or \$45.00 annually for a home with an assessed value of \$100,000. Results may differ based on actual interest rates incurred and growth in assessed value.

Contest Total: 1

County: YAMHILL
User Name : Van Bergen, Brian

Ballot Content

Date : 9/15/2014 8:46:44 AM
Report No. : E-019

Election : 11/04/2014 - November 4, 2014 General Election

Number of Contest : 59
Number of Filed Candidates: 85