

BOARD ORDERS AND MINUTES

IN THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

FOR THE COUNTY OF YAMHILL

SITTING FOR THE TRANSACTION OF COUNTY BUSINESS

THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF YAMHILL COUNTY (“the Board”) sat for the transaction of county business in formal session on December 19, 2007 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 32 of the Courthouse, Commissioners Kathy George, Mary P. Stern, and Leslie Lewis being present.

Also present was John M. Gray, Jr., County Counsel; John Krawczyk, Administrative Services Director; Laura Tschabold, Administrative Services Assistant Director; Ken Friday, Planning Division Manager; Mike Brandt, Planning Director; Stephanie Armstrong, Associate Planner; David Bates, News-Register; Sid Friedman, 14286 NW Old Moores Valley Road, Yamhill; William Neuhauser, 19075 NE Woodland Loop Rd, Yamhill; Jon Hemstreet, 28880 Thomson Mill, Sheridan; Ed Trompke, PO Box 230669, Portland, 97281; Edwin Sharer, 16500 SE Lafayette Hwy, Dayton; John Abrams, 12425 Baker Creek Rd, McMinnville; Robert Youngman, 1013 N Springbrook Rd, Suite D, Newberg; Dick Day, 17170 NE Hwy 240, Newberg; Dave Hunnicutt, 11735 King City, Scholls; Matt Dunckel, 19010 Baker Creek Rd, McMinnville; Hank Franzoni, 225 SW Dogwood Dr, Dundee; Candi Tompkins, 20995 Wallace Rd SE, Dayton; John Pinkstaff, 601 SW 2nd St, Portland; and others as listed on the attached attendance roll.

Commissioner George called the meeting to order.

A. **PUBLIC COMMENT:** This thirty-minute time period is reserved for public comment on any topic other than a quasi-judicial land use matter or other topic scheduled for public hearing. Unless extended or restricted by the Chair or majority of the Board, public comment will be limited to three minutes per person.

Henry Reeves stated that he has a political chronograph showing the time left in George W. Bush’s presidency and he will update it to show the time remaining in Commissioner Lewis’ term, as hers is the first seat up for reelection.

John Englebrecht said that the Bill of Rights states that no law shall be passed to restrict the rights of the people to assemble for their own good or to instruct their representatives in government. He stated that the Board should involve the public when scheduling or denying any public hearing. He also encouraged the Board to hold their discussions openly and not attempt to get around the open meeting law requirements.

Hank Franzoni stated that only one of the commissioners is representing the citizens and the other two are trying to hide their actions. He said that this behavior of public officials is unacceptable. He stated that because Commissioner George has her own active Measure 37 claim, she should either recuse herself from all Measure 37/Measure 49 matters, withdraw her claim, or resign from the Board of Commissioners.

Patricia Royer stated that 1000 Friends of Oregon is robbing property owners of their rights and Oregon has become an example of idiocy. She encouraged Yamhill County to be an example to the rest of the state.

- B. **CONSENT AGENDA:** Ms. Stern moved approval of the consent agenda. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

Minutes

1. Approve the following formal session minutes:
 - a. **B. O. 07-1059** - June 20, 2007;
 - b. **B. O. 07-1060** - June 29, 2007;
 - c. **B. O. 07-1061** - August 15, 2007.

Personnel

2. **B. O. 07-1062** - Approve the change of status of Joseph Plasker to continue temporary full-time employment in HHS Adult Mental Health, effective January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008.

Contracts

3. **B. O. 07-1063** - Approve a stipend agreement between Yamhill County and Murray Paolo for Information Systems management services provided to the City of McMinnville, \$1000/month, effective January 1, 2008.
4. Approve the following amendments to the 2007-09 Financial Assistance Agreement #119951 between HHS and Oregon Department of Human Services:
 - a. **B. O. 07-1064** - Amendment #13;
 - b. **B. O. 07-1065** - Amendment #14;
 - c. **B. O. 07-1066** - Amendment #15.
5. **B. O. 07-1067** - Approve a Modification of Physician Services agreement between HHS Family & Youth and Dr. Veneta Stoyanova to add up to 8 hours/week for medication evaluations, medication management, and chart review services, \$110/hour, effective December 19, 2007.
6. **B. O. 07-1068** - Approve an agreement between the Commission on Children & Families and Catholic Community Services for the Community Safety Net program, \$12,000, retroactive to July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.
7. **B. O. 07-1069** - Approve an order establishing a process for the Juvenile Department to expend funds from the local Commission on Children & Families for Functional Family Therapy, Parenting Wisely, and Teen Safety Net services, \$47,706, retroactive to July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.
8. Ratify the approval of the following grant agreements between the Transit Division and Oregon Department of Transportation, retroactive to July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008:
 - a. **B. O. 07-1070** - Agreement #24003;
 - b. **B. O. 07-1071** - Agreement #24004.
9. **B. O. 07-1072** - Authorize any commissioner, the Administrative Services Director, or the

Administrative Services Assistant Director to sign a grant agreement between Yamhill County and Oregon Office of Homeland Security for the Homeland Security Grant Program, subject to approval by County Counsel.

Policy

10. **B. O. 07-1073** - Adopt a policy on sell-back of PEL and FET prior to retirement.

Committees

11. **B. O. 07-1074** - Approve the following changes to the Ambulance Service Area Committee:
- a. Reappoint Mary Newell, Damon Schulze, John Heiser, and Randy Williamson for two-year terms to expire December 31, 2009;
 - b. Appoint Bill Alguire and Jay Lilly for two-year terms to expire December 31, 2009, filling the positions vacated by Terry Ney and Scott Magers.

C. **OLD BUSINESS:**

1. **B. O. 07-1075** - Consideration of adoption of findings in support of Planning Docket NCU-01-07, a request to recognize an existing storage business as a non-conforming use, applicant David Evers, appellant Dan Bansen, as tentatively approved November 28, 2007.

Ms. Stern moved to adopt the findings. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

D. **OTHER BUSINESS** (Add-ons and non-consent items):

1. **B. O. 07-1076** - Consideration of extending a lease agreement between Yamhill County and Sherry Lewis for space in the Stark Building for the law library.

John Krawczyk stated that he agrees with the law library staff and court administrator that this isn't the time for the library to move into the courthouse. Ms. Lewis moved approval of the two-year lease extension. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

2. **B. O. 07-1077** - Consideration of adoption of the Board of Commissioners Areas of Responsibility, effective January 2, 2008 through June 30, 2008.

Ms. Lewis moved to adopt the Areas of Responsibility. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

3. **B. O. 07-1078** - Consideration of approval of the change of status of Karla Connors to Range 14, Step 3 in the District Attorney's Office, effective December 24, 2007.

Ms. Stern moved approval of the change of status of Karla Connors. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

4. **B. O. 07-1079** - Consideration of authorizing \$100 to be deposited in West Coast Bank to maintain a positive balance for bank charges on the Fair's credit card account.

Ms. Lewis moved to authorize the \$100 deposit. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

5. **B. O. 07-1080** - Consideration of ratifying the Juvenile Department's submission of a grant application to Oregon Youth Authority for gang intervention services, \$45,524.

Ms. Stern moved to ratify the grant submission, explaining that the turnaround time on the previous gang intervention grant received by the county was too short to do anything and this would provide evidence-based programs to School Resource Officers. The motion passed, Commissioners George, Stern, and Lewis voting aye.

6. Discussion of the Criminal Justice Team Project. *[No formal action taken.]*

Following the public hearing, the Board discussed the status of the Criminal Justice Team Project. Ms. George said she had expressed her concerns to Murray Paolo and he had assured her that he would be able to get the project substantially finished by the end of February 2008 and completely finished by the end of June 2008. Ms. Lewis stated that, as the liaison to the Information Systems Department, she would meet with Mr. Paolo to determine the specifics on what he is committing to and report back to the Board in January.

E. **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

1. **B. O. 07-1081** - Receipt of public comment on proposed Ordinance 823 establishing a process to determine whether an applicant has a common law vested right to complete and continue a use allowed by a Board-issued Measure 37 waiver. *[Ordinance adopted after final revisions.]*

Ms. George stated that she and her husband have an approved Measure 37 claim with both the county and the state for their property. She said that after consulting with her own legal counsel, she believes that it is legal for her to vote on the proposed ordinance, but she has decided to recuse herself from the discussion, reserving the right to vote if it is necessary to break a tie. She turned the meeting over to Ms. Stern.

Ms. Stern noted for the record that she had received an e-mail from Mary Holtan about this subject, but had not reviewed it before the discussion at last week's formal session.

She explained to the public that it is routine for commissioners to consult with staff members behind the scenes in the process of developing draft ordinances to present to the public and there was no intent to hide anything from the public in developing this ordinance. She said that changes have been made since the original draft and it is still a work in progress.

She stated that there is such polarization in this country that people tend to only communicate with those who are perceived to have the same beliefs as they do, as was demonstrated by the e-mails that were sent to only one or two of the commissioners rather than to the Board as a whole. She expressed her hope that the Board would hear a variety of opinions at this hearing. She opened the public hearing and requested that citizens focus their comments on the proposed ordinance and refrain from personal attacks on each other.

Staff Report - John M. Gray, Jr. distributed copies of the current draft and reviewed the highlights. He recommended an effective date of December 21, 2007, assuming there is a unanimous vote for approval. Mike Brandt estimated that there are approximately 105 to 130 approved Measure 37 claims that could possibly be considered vested.

Public Comment - Anne Engen stated that there doesn't seem to be any emergency that would require immediate adoption of an ordinance. She urged the Board to require a stop work order on all Measure 37 claims until vested rights are acquired, to require notification to neighbors within 750 feet in rural areas and a three-week period to submit comments, and to schedule a final decision on the ordinance in January.

Ms. Stern read the following comments submitted by Edwin Sharer: "I would like to urge this body to remain steadfast and not impose restrictions beyond state law. Vested rights is a judicial issue, not an issue that should be influenced by the Director of DLCD."

She read the following comments submitted by Matt Dunckel: "Please pass Ordinance 823. This is the only Measure 49 question that we have local control over. Without Ordinance 823, the state will have complete control of Measure 37 claimants."

Carr and Jean Biggerstaff requested that the proposed ordinance include the following: a provision to allow the public to submit testimony and participate in the review of the application; a requirement for notification to neighbors and the public at the time a vesting application is filed; a requirement that notice of the review decision is to be sent to all neighbors and interested citizens as well as being advertised to the public within a reasonable timeframe to allow for appeal of the decision; and information on appeal deadlines for circuit court or other appropriate courts. They also requested that the county issue stop work orders in order to protect landowners from risking future marketability of their projects and to protect the county from liability for attorney fees.

Dave Hunicutt, Oregonians in Action, expressed his support of the proposed ordinance with the suggestion that public comments be allowed only from those who would be impacted as a result of the decision, using the language from ORS 215.185 instead of the land use language. Mr. Gray stated that it would not be right to limit public comments because the language in Section 16 of Measure 49 defines an "adversely affected person" as anyone who has submitted written comments. Mr. Hunicutt disagreed with Mr. Gray's interpretation of Section 16 and stated that it doesn't limit the county's ability to refine who can submit comments.

Cheryl McCaffrey stated that the review authority should be a panel including the Planning Director, a vesting officer, and a representative of the public, possibly a member of the Planning Commission. She stated that the criteria in Section 4 are too arbitrary and unenforceable. She suggested a one-mile notification radius in rural areas and requested that notice also be sent to community planning organizations and other public entities that have asked to be informed.

Sid Friedman, 1000 Friends of Oregon, stated that the draft ordinance available for review the previous day is a vast improvement over the version considered by the Board the previous week, and this highlights the importance of taking time to consider the issues and receive public input. He

expressed his support of the testimony to be submitted by Friends of Yamhill County and stated that the ordinance needs to call for stop work orders until vested rights are determined. He read the language from the stop work order in Marion County's ordinance and submitted a copy for the record. He stated that a 500-foot notification radius is not enough and individual requests for notification should also be honored.

Bill Hutchens urged the Board to hold a timely vote on this ordinance because many claimants have invested a great deal of money and are paying interest on their loans. He stated that the Planning Director should determine vested rights and all counties in Oregon should operate by the same rules.

Merilyn Reeves, representing Friends of Yamhill County, submitted written comments for the record. She stated that she supports a vesting ordinance that is fair, impartial, understandable, and meets all legal requirements, and the proposed ordinance is greatly improved from the previous week. She reviewed her written comments and urged the Board to make sure that the public is better informed in the future before enacting ordinances.

Dick Day stated that Measure 49, which passed by a larger margin than Measure 37 did, clearly says "no" to large subdivisions and industrial development on prime farmland, so the intent of the voters is clear. He stated that the only emergency action needed is to issue stop work orders. He suggested a notification radius of more than 500 feet and no limitations on public input.

Rob Tracey stated that it important for an ordinance to be adopted, but stop work orders should have been issued as soon as Measure 49 passed. He requested that they be done now. He also requested that all adjacent property owners be given notice of vesting applications and no permits be issued until the vesting issue is resolved.

Carrell Bradley, former attorney for the City of Hillsboro, stated that the proposed ordinance is excellent. He suggested establishing a statute of limitations for filing vesting claims. He stated that the vesting officer needs to be someone who is an expert in this field, but does not need to be a lawyer, which would necessitate a lawyer on the other side and result in legal fees for the applicants. He stated that vesting is not a public matter and the proposed notice provisions are broad enough.

Sam Gordon stated that this is a very complicated issue that deserves time for proper consideration as well as cooperation between the counties and the state. He submitted a copy of Board Order 07-289 for the record and stated that if the LUBA appeals prevail in two cases currently challenging this Board Order, the county could be revisiting what even qualifies as a Measure 37 claim. He stated that all adjacent property owners need to be notified of vesting applications and website posting is not adequate. He said that the criteria in Section 4 of the proposed ordinance are too vague and the public needs to be involved in coming up with fair and respectable rules for determining vesting rights.

At the request of Ms. Stern, Mr. Gray provided background information on Section 4, explaining that the legislature made a conscious decision to not be very specific in defining common law vested rights, so the ordinance can't be specific either. He stated that the ordinance just

provides the framework for the review authority to make a decision by applying factors from common law cases.

Dave Walker stated that it is better to err on the side of openness in allowing public comments on vesting claims. He suggested using December 6, 2007, the effective date of Measure 49, as the cutoff for considering development expenditures. Mr. Gray stated that it will be up to the review authority to determine the date.

Boyd Teegarden stated that a 750-foot notification radius would be more appropriate than 500 feet. He said that everyone in the county is affected by Measure 37 claims and should all have the right to voice an opinion. He also emphasized the importance of issuing stop work orders.

The meeting recessed at 11:00 a.m. and reconvened at 11:10 a.m.

Catherine Wright stated that some litigation on vesting cases will be inevitable, since they have to be determined on a case-by-case basis using current case law. She suggested having an appeal process at the Board level in order to provide the court system with more information to consider. She agreed with Mr. Bradley that there should be a deadline for filing vesting applications. Ms. Lewis pointed out that claimants already have a deadline to choose between applying for vested rights and pursuing a Measure 49 claim. Ms. Wright suggested incorporating that deadline into the ordinance.

Val Valdenegro requested that the Board hold one or two more public meetings to provide further opportunity for public input on the proposed ordinance. He discussed his initial negative reaction after reading the News-Register article about the manner in which this hearing came about.

Ms. Lewis stated that many things were mischaracterized in the newspaper article concerning the December 12 Board meeting and the process used to develop the draft vesting ordinance. Ms. Lewis said that determining a vested rights process was complicated because the legislature gave no guidance in Measure 49 other than a reference to "common law vested rights." She said that there are opinions on all sides as to what the case law on vesting means.

Ms. Lewis explained that she had met with County Counsel John Gray and Planning Director Mike Brandt only twice regarding the draft ordinance. The first meeting was a few weeks earlier in November when Mr. Gray and Mr. Brandt briefed each commissioner individually about vested rights case law. Ms. Lewis stated that during that first meeting, Mr. Gray asked for her opinion on how some parts of the vesting procedure should be handled. Then Ms. Lewis explained that the Board had a discussion of the draft vesting ordinance at their December 10 informal session. She stated that at that December 10 public meeting, Mr. Gray told the Board that he wanted to sit down with each commissioner individually to determine if they were ready to proceed with the vesting ordinance.

Ms. Lewis reported that her second individual meeting with Mr. Gray and Mr. Brandt was held at 8:00 on the morning of December 12, prior to the Board's formal session. Ms. Lewis said that at that meeting, Mr. Gray told her that based on his conversations with the other two

commissioners, he felt that the Board appeared ready to adopt the ordinance. Ms. Lewis said that the newspaper article characterized this as being “a deal,” but she actually didn’t know where the other two commissioners stood on the ordinance. Ms. Lewis added that even if a commissioner had indicated a position to staff prior to a public meeting, it is the right of each commissioner to change their position at the public meeting, if they so desire.

Ms. Lewis stated that at the December 12 formal session, after Mr. Gray gave the first reading of the ordinance, there was complete silence. She further explained that under Robert’s Rules, the way to get discussion going at a Board meeting is to make a motion, so she made a motion to adopt the ordinance. Ms. Lewis stated that when she made the motion, she did not know what the other commissioners were going to say. She repeated that there was no “deal struck,” as implied by the newspaper.

Ms. Lewis said that when Commissioner Stern raised her concern about the lack of public input for the ordinance, she immediately withdrew her motion to adopt the ordinance. Ms. Lewis explained that she then made a second motion to hold a public hearing on the draft vesting ordinance, resulting in today’s hearing. She thanked Mr. Valdenegro for his respectful comments and for the opportunity to share her side of the story, which wasn’t published in the newspaper.

John Englebrecht stated that there is no legitimate reason to declare an emergency in adopting this ordinance and public hearings should be automatic unless the Board determines that there is a good reason to not hold one. He said that all public comments regarding vesting applications should be submitted in writing. He suggested that the ordinance include a requirement for the repayment of farm deferral taxes and stated that counties should be consistent in implementing stop work orders.

Charles Harrell stated that the Marion County vesting ordinance was adopted without a public hearing and with an emergency clause. He added that the Department of Land Conservation & Development (DLCD) rules were also adopted quickly, with a turnaround of about 48 hours. He expressed his support of the proposed ordinance and said that it has to be flexible because of the number of common law cases out there.

Thomas Bernards stated that many of the Measure 37 claimants are honest and hard-working people who have been prevented from doing reasonable things with their land. He urged the Board to look at the goalpost rule. In response to a request for clarification, Mr. Gray explained that the 500-foot notification radius is measured from the property boundary and would therefore include all adjacent property owners.

Ilsa Perse stated that the Marion County ordinance was well-written and easy to understand. She agreed with the need to issue stop work orders and stated that work done without a county permit should not be considered in determining vesting rights. She supported the 21-day comment period. She requested that the Board take a few days to consider the comments that have been made today before making a final decision.

John Abrams supported the comments made by Mr. Hunnicutt regarding ORS 215.185 and

the acquisition of testimony. He stated that the process seems to be slanted and this would provide a balancing force on the side of the applicant. He said that the term "consider" in Section 4 of the proposed ordinance needs to be defined. He stated that a forum where public hearings become the mode of governance would inhibit the Board's ability to make timely decisions and there was no outcry when legislators worked behind closed doors to draft Measure 49.

Ms. Stern noted that Ed Christie and Robert Youngman had both submitted cards in support of the proposed ordinance.

Ed Trompke, an attorney speaking on his own behalf, stated that the proposed ordinance is very well written and provides for more notice than is required by law. He said that a statute of limitations is not a good idea because some claimants may want to sit on their rights and it could result in legal costs. He said that stop work orders are not necessary and could cause additional expense to claimants by interrupting contractor work flow as well as risking liability to the county. He stated that claimants who continue development before vested rights are determined do so with the understanding that they will have to reverse that work if their vested rights applications are denied.

Jon Hemstreet discussed stop work orders and raised the questions of whether or not an applicant would be entitled to compensation of interest and whether that would be applied to vesting rights. Mr. Gray stated that those issues would have to be sorted out later and it is up to the Board to decide whether or not they are included in the ordinance.

Ms. Stern noted that Robert and Betty Janzen had submitted a card in support of the proposed ordinance with a written comment that stop work orders are not needed. She noted that William Neuhauser had submitted a suggestion that the title of Section 4 be changed from "Criteria Considered" to "Factors Considered," since no criteria have been established.

John Pinkstaff, land use attorney, stated that a person should be required to show a property interest in order to submit comments on a vesting application. In response to a suggestion by Ms. Lewis, he stated that allowing comments from anybody and having each person show how they have a property interest would be acceptable.

Henry Reeves stated that many problems are created when individuals fail to accept personal responsibility and those who continue to invest money in Measure 37 claims before vesting rights have been determined should realize that their money is at risk.

Candi Tompkins submitted written comments in support of a timely process to determine vesting rights on a case-by-case basis and in opposition to stop work orders.

Close of Public Hearing / Deliberation - Ms. Stern closed the public hearing and asked Mr. Gray to address the stop work order and notification issues. He stated that the proposed ordinance does not include a stop work order and this was a conscious decision made after talking to his colleagues throughout the state. He stated that there is equal risk on both sides of the issue. He said that he and Mike Brandt will issue letters to each Measure 37 claimant to inform them of the vesting

process and notify them that any continuing work is done at their own risk. He stated that the statute of limitations is built into Measure 49 and should not be part of the ordinance.

He stated that the properties entitled to notification under Measure 37 are those within 500 feet of the subject property and have already been identified by the county. He said that it would also be possible to publish notice of the completed application in the newspaper and on the county's website, but it would be too cumbersome to honor individual notification requests.

He explained that an emergency clause only requires a unanimous vote and without the clause, the ordinance would not go into effect for at least 114 days. Ms. Lewis pointed out that this would create problems for those who need to make a Measure 49 decision within 90 days of receiving notice from DLCD. Ms. Stern agreed that it would be in the best interest of the county and its citizens to have the ordinance in effect as soon as possible.

He stated that he has heard opposing arguments from attorneys representing property owners regarding the suggestion for an appeal process to the Board and believes that to be an unnecessary second process to getting a ruling from the courts. He agreed with Mr. Neuhauser's suggestion to change the title of Section 4 to "Factors Considered."

Ms. Stern thanked the public for their suggestions and expressed her support of the 21-day comment period and the notification provisions. She reiterated the need to move forward in adopting the ordinance.

The meeting recessed at 12:36 p.m. and reconvened at 2:02 p.m.

Mr. Gray stated that he had prepared a final version of Ordinance 823 based on the changes discussed earlier in the meeting. He reviewed the changes and provided the first and second readings of the ordinance by title only, declaring an emergency.

Ms. Stern moved to adopt Ordinance 823. She amended her motion to include the following changes to Section 5.02: remove subsection (c) and replace "final application" with "final county vesting decision" in subsections (a) and (b). The motion passed, Commissioners Stern and Lewis voting aye and Commissioner George abstaining. Ms. Lewis expressed her disappointment that the legislature left vested rights undefined rather than putting them in statute through Measure 49.

F. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

1. The following positions are open to the public. Contact the Commissioners' Office for applications.

- a. Commission on Children & Families, four lay positions, two lay alternate positions, one youth position, and two professional alternate positions;
- b. Local Alcohol and Drug Planning Committee, two positions;
- c. Road Improvement Advisory Committee, one position;
- d. Special Transportation Advisory Commission, one position.

2. Northwest Senior & Disability Services has openings for Yamhill County residents on the

following regional councils:

- a. Senior Advisory Council, one position;
- b. Disability Services Advisory Council, one position.

For more information, contact Sally Lawson at (503)304-3473 or by e-mail at Sally.Lawson@state.or.us.

3. All Board sessions have been canceled for the week of December 24-28, 2007. There will be no informal session on December 31, 2007.

The meeting adjourned at 2:18 p.m.

Anne Britt
Secretary

YAMHILL COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Chair KATHY GEORGE

Commissioner MARY P. STERN

Commissioner LESLIE LEWIS



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Attendance Roll for meeting records

Meeting: Informal _____ Formal Work Session _____ Date 12/19/07

PLEASE PRINT
Name

PLEASE PRINT
Complete Address

PLEASE PRINT
Subject

Eve A. DellaValle P.O. Box 985, Carlton 97111

John W Englebrect 1206 NW August ^{NE Miami}

Arthur & Anne Engen 13325 NW Willis Road McM

J. Val Valdensuro 12925 NE Parrett Mtn Rd. (E)

Dan & John Grimm PO Box 57 McMinnville

Sam W Eastman 10650 ~~Juniper~~ NE McMinnville

Sam Gorden 12800 NE Parrett Mtn Rd, Newberg, OR 97132 (E)

CARR & JUANNE BILCHERSTADT 16821 NE Chehalis Dr, Newberg, 97132 ^{Ord 823} (E)

ROBERT THORFORD 1105 S. TKA Newberg - Oregon

Gary Owens 30435 SE Neck Rd Dayton OR 97114

Bill Hitchens 17565 NE Hillsboro Hwy Newberg

Barbara Hitchens " " "

CARL MANDALALL 5535 MINERAL SPRING RD CARLTON

ED CHRISTIE 17940 N.E. HILLSBORO HWY NEWBERG 97132

MARLA ROBISON 12300 NE PARRETT Mtn Rd NEWBERG 97132

DAVE WALKER P.O. Box 64, 118 NE CHEHALIS DR NEWBERG OR 97132

John King P.O. Box 969 Newberg, OR 97132

[Saved commsec/forms]

EXHIBIT A 1/3



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Attendance Roll for meeting records

Meeting: Informal _____ Formal Work Session _____ Date 12/19/07 _____

Name	Complete Address	Subject
DUANE GUNTER	13425 SW Baker Cr Rd	1749
Harold F. Bradley	2221 SW 17th St # 2224 Portland, OR 97201	1849
TOM BARNARD	P.O. Box 118 McMinnville, OR 97128	
Leslie Hassell	1200 S. Adams St. McMinnville, OR	CR 20-
Lanny Duty	1707 NW Duty Lane, McMinnville, OR	
Gary Johnson	815 NE 19th St, McMinnville, OR	IL
TOM CURRANS	19555 NE TRUCK RD, TONGUE	
Boyd Ferguson	16825 NE Clark Dr. Newberg, OR	Museum 46
Bob, Betty Jones	2559 SE Valley Pk Newberg, OR	97114
MARY HOOTAN	P.O. Box 51915 Newberg, OR	97128
Walt Meenderhall	3860 Tangle Creek Rd Willamina, OR	97396
Susan Weir	18201 N.E. Belle Peak Rd Newberg, OR	97132
Jan Hemstreet	28880 Thomson Mill rd Sheridan, OR	97335
WILLIAM NEUBAUER	19045 NE WINDANGLER RD YAMHILL	97148
Robert Tracy	1160 NW Tule Lake Way	
Robert Anita Connell	17075 NE Chetwood Dr Newberg, OR	97132
CATHERINE WRIGHT	P.O. Box 625 McMinnville, OR	97128

[Saved commsec/forms]



BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Attendance Roll for meeting records

Meeting: Informal _____ Formal Work Session _____ Date 12/19/07 _____

Name	Complete Address	Subject
Cheryl McCaffrey	7425 Earlwood Rd NE Newberg, OR	MAY 2000
Helen Mansfield	878 Woodwood Cir - McMinnville	11/49
MARK RESSMAN	1345 NW Willis Rd McMinnville, OR	11/49
MICHAEL Miley	1555 SW Cypress McMinnville	11/49
Jennifer Redman	13500 Prairie Rd McMinnville	11/49
Joe DeLuzalle	819 S Pine Carlton CA	
CRAIG PUSZLO	2375 NW High Heaven Rd McMinnville	
Annalae Hoskins	11400 NE Dudley Rd Newberg	11/31/49
STANLEY HUTCHENS	2915 W BERRY DUNDEE, OR 97115	11/37/49
Helen Pritchett	13650 SW Massena Rd No "	
Joyce Astorford	23950 Albertson Rd, Hillsboro - Yamhill Co.	11/37/49
Marilyn Levee	PO Box 1083 McMinnville	
Ika Pers	5765 Marial Springs Rd Carlton OR 97111	
CRAIG MARKHAM	22245 NE LAFFER LN DUNDEE 97115	11/49/06

[Saved commsec/forms]

EXHIBIT A 3/3